

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
WWW.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/846,259	(	05/02/2001	•	Noritaka Kitazawa	0425-0819P	6569
2292	7590	11/18/2002			-	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH					EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747					COVINGTON, RAYMOND K	
		,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					1625	
					DATE MAILED: 11/18/2	002
		÷,				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>-</u> -					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
055 4-45 0	09/846,259	KITAZAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Raymond Covington	1625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Conference of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT attatute, cause the application to become ABA	uply be timely filed  1 (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>07 June 2002</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applic							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	thdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	and/or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ di	sapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in Ap	pplication No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ul>	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	·						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag							
Attachment(s)	· •						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Off	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4					

Application/Control Number: 09/846,259

Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claim1-19 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising for example, derivatives of heteroarylalkyl, benzoheteroaryl, oxazolidon 3-yl, thiazolidinedion, benzodioxolyl, benzothiazolyl and quinoline. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Richard Gallenger on October 22, 2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention that includes example 267, claims 1-19. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. The subject matter of Claims 1-19 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner too the extent it reads on non-elected subject matter, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Compounds Commensurate in Scope with Elected Species

From the elected species (compound of Example 267) compounds commensurate in scope with said species have been grouped together and searched.



Art Unit: 1625

Compounds commensurate in scope with said species are those where in formula (I) A, B, C and D are carbon, T is nitrogen, either Y or Z is nitrogen the other is carbon and R1, R2, R3, R4 and R5 are non-heterocyclic substituents. Claims readable on the elected species are 1-19.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-19 of U. S. Patent No. 6,448,243 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming

Application/Control Number: 09/846,259

Art Unit: 1625

46,259 Page 4

common subject matter, as follows: The 1,4-substituted cyclic amine derivatives of formula (I) would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The claims overlap in particular where at least one A, B, C and D of formula (I) must be nitrogen.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application, which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Covington whose telephone number is (703) 308-4704. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A. Rotman can be reached on (703) 308-0204. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7922 for regular communications and (703) 308-7922 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Covington/LR September 4, 2002 Raymond Covington Examiner Art Unit 1625

Clan & Rotman

ALAN L. ROTMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600